# UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

CHAIRMAN: Judge John G. Heyburn II United States District Court Western District of Kentucky MEMBERS: Judge D. Lowell Jensen United States District Court Northern District of California

Judge J. Frederick Motz United States District Court District of Maryland

Judge Robert L. Miller, Jr. United States District Court Northern District of Indiana Judge Kathryn H. Vratil United States District Court District of Kansas

Judge David R. Hansen United States Court of Appeals Eighth Circuit

Judge Anthony J. Scirica United States Court of Appeals Third Circuit **DIRECT REPLY TO:** 

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel One Columbus Circle, NE Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building Room G-255, North Lobby Washington, D.C. 20002

Telephone: [202] 502-2800 Fax: [202] 502-2888 http://www.jpml.uscourts.gov

October 12, 2007

#### NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION

#### Dear Counsel:

Pursuant to the order of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, you are hereby notified that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: November 29, 2007

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Edward J. Schwartz United States Courthouse

Ceremonial Courtroom #1, 4th Floor

940 Front Street

San Diego, California 92101

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:30 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.** 

Please direct your attention to the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session for a listing of the matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 16.1(c), R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 439 (2001).

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the enclosed blue "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be returned to this office no later than **November 9, 2007.** Note the procedures governing Panel oral argument which are outlined on the enclosed "Procedures for Oral Argument before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation." These procedures are strictly adhered to and your cooperation is appreciated.

Very truly,

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

# UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

### **HEARING SESSION ORDER**

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on November 29, 2007, the Panel will convene a hearing session in San Diego, California, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Rule 16.1(c), R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 439 (2001). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 16.1(b), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION:

ohn G. Heyburn l

Chairman

D. Lowell Jensen

Robert L. Miller, Jr.

David R. Hansen

J. Frederick Motz

Kathryn H. Vratil

Anthony J. Scirica

# SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION November 29, 2007 -- San Diego, California

# SECTION A MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

#### MDL No. 1885 -- IN RE: GOOD KARMA, LLC, ET AL., IRS SUMMONS LITIGATION

Motion of Petitioners Good Karma, LLC, et al.; Ironwood Trading, LLC, et al.; Nero Trading, LLC, et al.; Sugarloaf Funding, LLC, et al.; Rook Trading, LLC, et al.; Bodensee Fund, LLC, et al.; Superior Trading, LLC; Lyons Trading, LLC; and Galba Trading, LLC, for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

# Middle District of Florida

Ironwood Trading, LLC, et al. v. United States of America, Dept. of the Treasury-I.R.S., Misc. No. 8:07-59

# Northern District of Georgia

Nero Trading, LLC, et al. v. United States of America, Dept. of the Treasury-I.R.S, C.A. No. 1:07-1816

#### Northern District of Illinois

Good Karma, LLC, et al. v. United States of America, Dept. of the Treasury-I.R.S., C.A. No. 1:07-2697

Good Karma, LLC, et al. v. United States of America, Dept. of the Treasury-I.R.S., C.A. No. 1:07-3930

#### District of Massachusetts

Sugarloaf Funding, LLC, et al. v. United States of America, Dept. of the Treasury-I.R.S., C.A. No. 1:07-11211

#### Western District of Michigan

Rook Trading, LLC, et al. v. United States of America, Dept. of the Treasury-I.R.S., C.A. No. 1:07-651

MDL No. 1885 (Continued)

# **District of New Jersey**

Bodensee Fund, LLC, et al. v. United States of America, Dept. of the Treasury-I.R.S., C.A. No. 3:07-3209

#### Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Bodensee Fund, LLC, et al. v. United States of America, Dept of the Treasury-I.R.S., Misc. No. 2:07-111

# Middle District of Pennsylvania

Superior Trading, LLC v. United States of America, Dept. of the Treasury-I.R.S., Misc. No. 3:07-195

# Eastern District of Tennessee

Lyons Trading, LLC v. United States of America, Dept. of the Treasury-I.R.S., Misc. No. 3:07-13

#### Southern District of Texas

Galba Trading, LLC v. United States of America, Dept. of the Treasury-I.R.S., Misc. No. 7:07-43

# MDL No. 1886 -- IN RE: REFINED PETROLEUM PRODUCTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiffs Fast Break Foods, LLC, and Green Oil Company for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

# <u>District of District of Columbia</u>

S-Mart Petroleum, Inc. v. Petroleos de Venezuela, S.A., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-1179

MDL No. 1886 (Continued)

### Northern District of Illinois

Fast Break Foods, LLC v. Saudi Arabian Oil Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-6594 Green Oil Co. v. Saudi Arabian Oil Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-3617

### Northern District of Ohio

Countywide Petroleum Co. v. Petroleos de Venezuela, S.A., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-1632

### Southern District of Texas

Spectrum Stores, Inc., et al. v. Citgo Petroleum Corp., C.A. No. 4:06-3569

# MDL No. 1887 -- IN RE: ENGLE PROGENY TOBACCO PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Motion of defendants R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company; Philip Morris USA Inc.; Lorillard Tobacco Company; and Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corporation for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida:

#### Middle District of Florida

Sharon White v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 6:06-1161 Judith Piegza, et al. v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 6:06-1162 Patricia Moore v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 6:06-1163 Linda Jones v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 6:06-1164 Ronald Spry, et al. v. Liggett Group, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 6:06-1228 Gloria Bell v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 6:07-1152 Joyce Williams, etc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 8:06-1696 John A. Swindells v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 8:06-1731 James L. Dunn, et al. v. Philip Morris, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:07-770

#### Northern District of Florida

Evelyn Swindell, etc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-86

MDL No. 1887 (Continued)

### Southern District of Florida

Jocelyn Bonenfant, etc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 0:07-60301 Jimmie Lee Brown, etc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 0:07-60432 Barbara Morales, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 0:07-60944 Jerome Cohen v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 0:07-60946 Pasquale Caprio, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-20712 Patricia Neisen-Stone v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., C.A. No. 1:07-20910 Hanifah Harewood, etc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-21327 Deanna S. Ellis, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-21503 Roberto Santana v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-21721 Gloria Tucker, etc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-21740 Susan Smith, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-21785 John Glading, Jr., et al. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-21843 Daryl Miller, etc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., C.A. No. 9:07-80312

# MDL No. 1888 -- IN RE: MARINE HOSE ANTITRUST LITIGATION (NO. II)

Motion of plaintiff Weeks Marine, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

#### Southern District of Florida

Shipyard Supply, LLC v. Bridgestone Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-21282 Expro Gulf Ltd. v. Bridgestone Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-21464 Bayside Rubber & Products, Inc. v. Trelleborg Industrie S.A., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-21613 Bayside Rubber & Products, Inc. v. Christian Caleca, et al., C.A. No. 1:07-21784

#### Southern District of New York

Weeks Marine, Inc. v. Bridgestone Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-6811

#### MDL No. 1889 -- IN RE: PEREGRINE SYSTEMS, INC., SECURITIES LITIGATION

Motion of defendant Arthur Andersen, LLP, and plaintiff David Hildes, etc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California:

#### Southern District of California

Alan Marshall, et al. v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-870 Richard Bowe v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-871 Joel A. Gerber v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-882 Peter Ahrens v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-885 Blake Halberg v. Peregrine Systems Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-886 Chris Martin v. Peregrine Systems, Inc, et al., C.A. No. 3:02-887 Ira Gaines v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-890 Jeff Michon, et al. v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-891 Peter J. Krinsky v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-902 Jonathan D. Layes v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-906 Alan Berkowitz v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-921 Mendel Spiegel, et al. v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-926 Gabriel West v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-951 Randy Lee v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-979 Henry Frankel v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-996 Richard Schleicher v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1002 Anthony Boarman v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1010 Eric P. Daniels v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1011 Donna Murray v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1022 Stephen Anish v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1047 Robert Renzi v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1060 Craig McCarthy v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1061 Stoneridge Investment Partners, LLC v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1073 Heywood Waga v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1095 Michael J. Farrell v. Peregrine Systems, et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1120 Mateo Camarillo, et al. v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1168 Congregation Bais Avrohom v. Peregine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1174 Katy Cox Johnson v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1176 Alan Hylton v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1207 Janet Kusmierski, et al. v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1208

MDL No. 1889 (Continued)

# Southern District of California (Continued)

Michele Voth, et al. v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1238 Blair Alexander v. Matthew C. Gless, et al., C.A. No. 3:02-1242 Felix Lecocq v. Peregrine Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:02-2550 William V. Alesi v. Matthew C. Gless, et al., C.A. No. 3:03-57

#### <u>District of New Jersey</u>

David Hildes, etc. v. Arthur Andersen, LLP, et al., C.A. No. 2:07-393

#### MDL No. 1891 -- IN RE: KOREAN AIR LINES CO., LTD., ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiff James Van Horn for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington; motion of plaintiffs Hyun Park, et al., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Central District of California; and motion of plaintiff Ben Lee for centralization of the following actions in the United states District Court for the Northern District of California:

#### Central District of California

Hyun Park, et al. v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., C.A. No. 2:07-5107 Desa Philadelphia v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., C.A. No. 2:07-5453

#### Northern District of California

Hee Ho Chung, et al. v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-3985 Hwa Ja Chung v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., C.A. No. 3:07-4016 Ben Lee v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., C.A. No. 3:07-4085 Yoon S. Chang v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-4244 Kirby K. Kim v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., C.A. No. 3:07-4245 Scott McLean v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-4297 Martin Kaufman v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-4349 Kaz Fujita v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-4489

# MDL No. 1891 (Continued)

# Northern District of California (Continued)

Amy Bricker v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-4508 Michael Lee v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-4509

### **District of Massachusetts**

Song Kim v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., C.A. No. 1:07-11427

### District of Nevada

Sook Yung Lee v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-1151

#### Eastern District of New York

Yoong Kim v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3526

# Western District of Washington

James Van Horn v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., C.A. No. 2:07-1228 Ki-Yong Nam v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., C.A. No. 2:07-1264 Young Teak Moon, et al. v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., C.A. No. 2:07-1280 Leeva Chung v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., C.A. No. 2:07-1281 Jong Su Kim v. Korean Air Lines, Co., Ltd., C.A. No. 2:07-1294 Steven Kim, et al. v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-1313 Chae Kim v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-1337 Michael Choi v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., C.A. No. 2:07-1342 Jay Sohn v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., C.A. No. 2:07-1351 John Papazian v. Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd., C.A. No. 2:07-1357

#### MDL No. 1892 -- IN RE: KFC CORP. FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT LITIGATION

Motion of defendant KFC Corp. for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

#### Middle District of Alabama

Victoria Poole, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 2:07-502

# **District of Arizona**

Linda Alberico Pelland, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 2:07-1110

#### **District of Connecticut**

Armando Amador v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 3:07-883

#### District of Delaware

James Prestigiacomo, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 1:07-353

#### District of District of Columbia

Gloria Bell, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 1:07-1016

### Middle District of Florida

Mark Bourlotos, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 8:07-968

#### Northern District of Georgia

Constance Wilson, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 1:07-1538

# Northern District of Illinois

Talia Pippion, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 1:07-3957

#### Southern District of Indiana

Vickie Aldridge, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 4:07-77

MDL No. 1892 (Continued)

# Western District of Kentucky

Karen Black, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 3:07-312

Middle District of Louisiana

Tanya Ford, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 3:07-390

District of Maryland

Gloria Dozier, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 8:07-1517

District of Massachusetts

Francisco Anacleto, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 1:07-11066

Eastern District of Michigan

Minnie Ballard, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 2:07-12538

District of Minnesota

Christian Parler, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 0:05-2198 Ray Ackerman, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 0:07-2656

Western District of Missouri

Jason Johnson v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 4:07-416

District of New Jersey

Robert Gruber, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 1:07-2775

District of Nevada

Arturo Neyra, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 2:07-795

# MDL No. 1892 (Continued)

#### Southern District of New York

David Thames, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 1:07-6836

Western District of North Carolina

Nikkia Barkley, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 3:07-226

Southern District of Ohio

Thomas Wellman, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 1:07-439

Western District of Oklahoma

Grahm Baccus, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 5:07-648

<u>Eastern District of Pennsylvania</u>

Troy Williams, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 2:07-2920

Eastern District of Tennessee

Kimberlee Bosworth v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 1:07-131

Western District of Texas

Claudio Hernandez, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 3:07-201

<u>Eastern District of Virginia</u>

Sebrina Dandrige, et al. v. KFC Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-332

Northern District of West Virginia

Hope Jones, et al. v. KFC Corp., C.A. No. 3:07-74

# MDL No. 1893 -- IN RE: RC2 CORP. TOY LEAD PAINT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiff Abel Martinez for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Central District of California and motion of defendants RC2 Corp. and Learning Curve Brands, Inc., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

#### Eastern District of Arkansas

James Weldon Stratton, et al. v. RC2 Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-640

#### Central District of California

Abel Martinez v. RC2 Corp., C.A. No. 2:07-5401

#### Northern District of Illinois

Channing Hesse v. Learning Curve Brands, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-3514
Jennifer Foshee Deke, etc. v. RC2 Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-3609
Kimm Walton v. RC2 Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-3614
John O'Leary, et al. v. Learning Curve Brands, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-3682
Paul Djurisic, etc. v. Apax Partners, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-3707
Theresa Reddell, et al. v. Learning Curve Brands, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-3747
Nicholas Rohde, et al. v. Learning Curve Brands, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-4187
Ryan R. Kreiner, et al. v. RC2 Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-4547
Cherise Wilson, et al. v. Learning Curve Brands, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-4642

#### Southern District of Indiana

Chad J. Sweeney, et al. v. RC2 Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-772

# **District of New Jersey**

David Murdock, etc. v. RC2 Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3376

#### Eastern District of New York

C. Kelly v. RC2 Corp., C.A. No. 1:07-2525

#### MDL No. 1894 -- IN RE: U.S. FOODSERVICE, INC., PRICING LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiffs Waterbury Hospital, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut:

#### Northern District of California

Catholic Healthcare West v. Koninklijke Ahold N.V., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-4242

#### **District of Connecticut**

Waterbury Hospital, et al. v. U.S. Foodservice, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-1657

#### Southern District of Illinois

Thomas & King, Inc. v. Koninklijke Ahold N.V., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-608

#### MDL No. 1895 -- IN RE: LTL SHIPPING SERVICES ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiffs Berle Manufacturing Co., et al., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina; motion of plaintiffs Farm Water Technological Services, Inc., et al., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California; motion of plaintiff Isaac Industries, Inc., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of District of Columbia; and motion of plaintiffs Tex-Tech Industries, Inc., and Barjan, LLC, for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Maine:

#### Southern District of California

Farm Water Technological Services, Inc., et al. v. Arkansas Best Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-1389

Niagara Frontier Distribution, Inc. v. Arkansas Best Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-1728 C&L Trading of Miami, Inc. v. Arkansas Best Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-1764 Dad's Products Co., Inc. v. Arkansas Best Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-1765 Rocket Motorcycles, Inc. v. Arkansas Best Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-1780

MDL No. 1895 (Continued)

#### **District of Connecticut**

Global Wire, Inc., et al. v. Arkansas Best Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-1192

## District of District of Columbia

Isaac Industries, Inc. v. AAA Cooper Transportation, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-1638

# Middle District of Florida

Inkjetsinc.com of Florida, Inc. v. Arkansas Best Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-518

#### District of Maine

Tex-Tech Industries, Inc. v. Arkansas Best Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-157 Barjan, LLC v. Arkansas Best Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-163

# District of New Jersey

Lawrence F. Thompson, etc. v. Arkansas Best Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-4271

### Northern District of Ohio

Computer Management International v. Arkansas Best Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-2640

#### **District of South Carolina**

Berle Manufacturing Co., et al. v. Southern Motor Carriers Rate Conference, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2923

# MDL No. 1896 -- IN RE: GENERAL MOTORS CORP. SPEEDOMETER PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Motion of defendant General Motors Corp. for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington:

#### Northern District of California

Roy Falk, et al. v. General Motors Corp., C.A. No. 3:07-1731

# **District of Oregon**

Robert W. Christensen, et al. v. General Motors Corp., C.A. No. 3:07-512

# Western District of Washington

Kevin Zwicker, et al. v. General Motors Corp., C.A. No. 2:07-291

# MDL No. 1897 -- IN RE: MATTEL, INC., TOY LEAD PAINT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Motion of defendants Mattel, Inc., and Fisher-Price, Inc., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Central District of California and motion of plaintiffs Heather Davis Puerzer, et al.; Steve Sarjent, et al.; and Nisha Shah for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

#### Central District of California

Ann L. Mayhew, etc. v. Mattel, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-5126 Nicole B. White, et al. v. Mattel, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-5366 Adam Luttenberger, etc. v. Mattel, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-5539 Heather Davis Puerzer, et al. v. Mattel, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-5661 Nisha Shah v. Fisher-Price, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-5960

# Southern District of Indiana

Steve Sarjent, et al. v. Fisher-Price, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-1060

# MDL No. 1897 (Continued)

#### Southern District of New York

Farrah Shoukry v. Fisher-Price, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-7182 Seth Goldman v. Fisher-Price, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-7764

# Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Nydia Monroe, etc. v. Mattel, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-3410 Jacob Chow, et al. v. Mattel, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3741

# **District of South Carolina**

Daniel S. Hughey, et al. v. Fisher-Price, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2930

#### MDL No. 1898 -- IN RE: AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE SECURITIES LITIGATION

Motion of defendant Citigroup Global Markets, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

#### Southern District of Florida

Justin Tuttleman, et al. v. John A. Johnston, et al., C.A. No. 9:07-80793

#### Eastern District of New York

Elliot Greenberg v. American Home Mortgage Investment Corp., et al.,

C.A. No. 2:07-3152

Joshua F. Hafron v. American Home Mortgage Investment Corp., et al.,

C.A. No. 2:07-3184

Claude A. Reese v. American Home Mortgage Investment Corp., et al.,

C.A. No. 2:07-3186

James Ramos v. American Home Mortgage Investment Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3191 Gail Fialkov v. American Home Mortgage Investment Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3193

Richard Nieland v. American Home Mortgage Investment Corp., et al.,

C.A. No. 2:07-3194

MDL No. 1898 (Continued)

# Eastern District of New York (Continued)

Yuk Ying Lui v. American Home Mortgage Investment Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3198 Randy Stark v. American Home Mortgage Investment Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3227 George B. Blake v. American Home Mortgage Investment Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3237

William J. Raniolo, et al. v. Michael Strauss, et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3243

Richard P. Abraham v. American Home Mortgage Investment Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3248

Mark Hollingsworth v. Michael Strauss, et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3259

Kenneth Bruce v. Michael Strauss, et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3306

David R. Scheall v. Michael Strauss, C.A. No. 2:07-3315

Larry Massung v. American Home Mortgage Investment Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3350

KT Investments, LLC v. Michael Strauss, et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3388

Arthur S.K. Fong v. Michael Strauss, et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3540

Dana Marlin v. Citigroup Global Markets, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3580

#### MDL No. 1899 -- IN RE: SOUTHEASTERN MILK ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Motion of defendants Dean Foods Company; National Dairy Holdings, LP; Dairy Farmers of America, Inc.; Dairy Marketing Services, LLC; Southern Marketing Agency, Inc.; James Baird; Gary Hanman; and Gerald Bos for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee:

#### Eastern District of Tennessee

Fidel Breto, etc. v. Dean Foods Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-188 Scott Dairy Farm, Inc., et al. v. Dean Foods Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-208

#### Middle District of Tennessee

Sweetwater Valley Farm, Inc., et al. v. Dean Foods Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-51 James D. Baisley, et al. v. Dean Foods Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-52

# MDL No. 1900 -- IN RE: CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., RESTOCKING FEE SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiff Roxana Wilson for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York or the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

# Central District of California

Roxana Wilson v. Circuit City Stores, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-5229

#### Middle District of Florida

Kenneth Donnelly, et al. v. Circuit City Stores, Inc., C.A. No. 5:06-387

#### Southern District of New York

A.D.A. Alicea v. Circuit City Stores, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-6123

# MDL No. 1901 -- IN RE: BEST BUY CO., INC., RESTOCKING FEE SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION

Motion of plaintiff Dana Stein for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

#### Southern District of Florida

Jeffrey Hy v. Best Buy Co., Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-60351

### Northern District of Illinois

Dana Stein v. Best Buy Co., Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-1881

#### MDL No. 1902 -- IN RE: REFCO INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION

Motion of defendants Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Banc of America Securities LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Grant Thornton LLP, Mayer Brown LLP, Mayer Brown International LLP, and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

#### Northern District of Illinois

Marc S. Kirschner, etc. v. Grant Thornton LLP, et al., C.A. No. 1:07-5306

### Southern District of New York

Joseph Mazur, et al. v. Refco Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-8626

American Financial International Group-Asia, LLC, et al. v. Refco Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-8988

Christopher Carmona, etc. v. Henry M. Paulson, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-9327

Thomas H. Lee Equity Fund V, L.P., et al. v. Phillip R. Bennett, et al., C.A. No. 1:05-9608

In re Refco Capital Markets, Ltd. Brokerage Customer Securities Litigation, C.A. No. 1:06-643

Thomas H. Lee Equity Fund V, L.P., et al. v. Mayer Brown LLP, et al., C.A. No. 1:07-6767

Marc S. Kirschner, etc. v. Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-7074

Axis Reinsurance Co. v. Phillip R. Bennett, et al., C.A. No. 1:07-7924

Marc S. Kirschner, etc. v. Phillip R. Bennett, et al., C.A. No. 1:07-8165

# SECTION B MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

#### MDL No. 875 -- IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. VI)

Oppositions of plaintiffs Robert F. Lyman, et al.; James Weese; Nolan Fontenot; Clyde L. Tyer; Bonnie Leray; Troy Leray; Gina Knight; J ames Samuel Warfield, et al.; Moses Sherman, et al.; John Alexander; Mary Alford, et al.; and Lida M. Muench, etc.; and defendants Peter R. Territo; American Motorists Insurance Co.; Commercial Union Insurance Co.; Travelers Indemnity Co.; John Chantrey; James O'Donnell; George Kelmell; Steven Kennedy; J.D. Roberts; Robert L. Schmitt Co., Inc.; Sullair Corp.; and Aearco Co. to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

#### Northern District of California

Robert F. Lyman, et al. v. Union Carbide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-4240

# Southern District of Illinois

James Weese v. Union Carbide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-581

#### Eastern District of Louisiana

Nolan Fontenot v. Chevron USA, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2764 Clyde L. Tyer v. Union Carbide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2777 Betty E. Lerille, et al. v. Monsanto Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3621

#### Western District of Louisiana

Glenn Albarado, et al. v. Eagle, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-1200

#### District of Maryland

James Samuel Warfield, et al. v. Northrop Grumman Ship Systems, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-1870

MDL No. 875 (Continued)

#### Southern District of New York

Moses Sherman, et al. v. A.J. Pegno Construction Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-6433 John Alexander v. Amchem Products, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-6441

### **District of South Carolina**

Mary Alford, et al. v. Owens-Illinois, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2569 Lida M. Muench, etc. v. Owens-Illinois, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2664

#### Eastern District of Virginia

Oris Bowers v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3222 Irias Burnham v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3223 Cornell Carrington v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3224 David Faulk v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3225 Euless Henry Hall v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3226 Jeffrey Harrison v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3227 Jesse Hicks v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3228 Percy Hobbs v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3229 Eduardo Holland v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3230 John F. Kirby v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3231 Charles Longnecker v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3232 Garry Majette v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3233 William M. Mundy v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3234 Bonnie Neal, etc. v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3235 Jimmy Pate v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3236 John Pitt v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3237 Arthur Scott v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3238 Edward Stacknik v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3239 Daniel Dean Terry v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3240 James A. Thigpen v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3241 Julius Walker v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3242 Leroy Waters v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3243 Larry Wilkerson v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3244 James Winkler v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3245 Michael Woodson v. American Air Liquide Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-3246

MDL No. 875 (Continued)

Oppositions of defendants AstenJohnson, Inc.; Bondex International, Inc.; General Electric Co.; Gulf Coast Marine Supply Co., Inc.; Industrial Holdings Corp.; Komp Equipment Co., Inc.; Marine Specialty Co., Inc.; Standard Motor Products, Inc.; Turner Supply Co.; Zurn Industries, Inc.; Amsted Industries, Inc.; Garlock Sealing Technologies LLC; York International Corp.; Gulf Belting & Gasket Co., Inc.; and American Standard, Inc., to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi:

### Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Gene Beazley, et al. v. A.W. Chesterton Co., et al. (S.D. Mississippi, C.A. No. 1:05-38) William Moulder, et al. v. Monsanto Co., et al. (S.D. Mississippi, C.A. No. 1:05-39) Willie Hamberlin, et al. v. Owens-Illinois, Inc., et al. (S.D. Mississippi, C.A. No. 1:05-41)

#### MDL No. 1334 -- IN RE: MANAGED CARE LITIGATION

Motions of plaintiff Emerson M. F. Jou, M.D., and defendants Aetna Inc.; Aetna Health Inc. PA, Corp.; Aetna Health Management, LLC; Aetna Life Insurance Co.; Aetna Health & Life Insurance Co.; Aetna Health Inc.; and Aetna Insurance Co. of Connecticut to transfer their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida:

#### District of Hawaii

Emerson M. F. Jou, M.D. v. Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-392

# District of New Jersey

Michele Cooper v. Aetna Health Inc. PA, Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-3541

#### MDL No. 1348 -- IN RE: REZULIN PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiffs James R. Dugan, II, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

#### Eastern District of Louisiana

James R. Dugan, II, et al. v. Greg Murphy, et al., C.A. No. 2:07-4073

# MDL No. 1373 -- IN RE: BRIDGESTONE/FIRESTONE, INC., TIRES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of defendants Bridgestone Firestone North American Tire, LLC, and Ford Motor Company to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following actions to their respective transferor courts:

#### Southern District of Indiana

- Lourdes Lizbeth Mendivil Galaviz, et al. v. Bridgestone Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:04-5810 (N.D. Texas, C.A. No. 3:04-429)
- Antonio Guevara Mendoza, et al. v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:04-5797 (W.D. Texas, C.A. No. 2:03-100)
- Rosa Vidrio Calvo, et al. v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:04-5798 (W.D. Texas, C.A. No. 2:03-108)
- Marisol Gomez Lopez, et al. v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:04-5799 (W.D. Texas, C.A. No. 2:03-109)
- Roberto Acencio Valle, et al. v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:04-5800 (W.D. Texas, C.A. No. 2:03-110)
- Esteban Quijano Bonfil, et al. v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:04-5801 (W.D. Texas, C.A. No. 2:04-15)
- Oliver Flores Bareno, et al. v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:04-5802 (W.D. Texas, C.A. No. 2:04-16)

# MDL No. 1390 -- IN RE: LIFE INSURANCE CO. OF GEORGIA INDUSTRIAL LIFE INSURANCE LITIGATION

Opposition of defendants to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi:

# Eastern District of Louisiana

Billie A. Auld, et al. v. Life Insurance Co. of Georgia, et al., C.A. No. 2:04-2262 (S.D. Mississippi, C.A. No. 5:04-55)

# MDL No. 1439 -- IN RE: FARMERS INSURANCE EXCHANGE CLAIMS REPRESENTATIVES' OVERTIME PAY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiffs Audrey Wilson, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Oregon:

#### Central District of California

Audrey Wilson, et al. v. Farmers Insurance Exchange, C.A. No. 2:07-4819

#### MDL No. 1598 -- IN RE: EPHEDRA PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiffs Gerri Lynn Fihe, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

#### Eastern District of New York

Gerri Lynn Fihe, et al. v. RS Oldco, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2833

# MDL No. 1604 -- IN RE: OCWEN FEDERAL BANK FSB MORTGAGE SERVICING LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff Wendy Adelson to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

# Eastern District of Michigan

Wendy Adelson v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-13142

#### MDL No. 1663 -- IN RE: INSURANCE BROKERAGE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiffs Lincoln Adventures, LLC, et al., and Supreme Auto Transport, LLC, to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

#### Southern District of Florida

Lincoln Adventures, LLC, et al. v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, et al., C.A. No. 0:07-60991

#### Southern District of New York

Supreme Auto Transport, LLC v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's of London, et al., C.A. No. 1:07-6703

# MDL No. 1715 -- IN RE: AMERIQUEST MORTGAGE CO. MORTGAGE LENDING PRACTICES LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiffs Duane O'Connor, et al., and Rosemary A. Gilroy to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

# District of Minnesota

Duane O'Connor, et al. v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al., C.A. No. 0:07-3669

MDL No. 1715 (Continued)

# **District of New Hampshire**

Rosemary A. Gilroy v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-74

Motion of plaintiffs Wilbert Cooley, et al., to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

#### Southern District of Alabama

Wilbert Cooley, et al. v. Ameriquest Mortgage, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-215

# MDL No. 1718 -- IN RE: FORD MOTOR CO. SPEED CONTROL DEACTIVATION SWITCH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff Nationwide Property & Casualty Insurance Co., etc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan:

#### Northern District of Texas

Nationwide Property & Casualty Insurance Co., etc. v. Ford Motor Co., C.A. No. 4:07-489

# MDL No. 1735 -- IN RE: WAL-MART WAGE AND HOUR EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiff Robert J. King and defendants Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., and the Wal-Mart Retirement Plans Committee to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada:

# Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Robert J. King v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-1486

# MDL No. 1769 -- IN RE: SEROQUEL PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiffs Ironworkers Local Union No. 68 & Participating Employers Health & Welfare Funds, et al.; AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al.; and Teamsters Joint Council Local No. 53 Retiree Health & Welfare Fund to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida:

# **District of New Jersey**

Ironworkers Local Union No. 68 & Participating Employers Health & Welfare Funds, et al. v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al., C.A. No. 3:07-2313
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 98 v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, et al., C.A. No. 3:07-2639

# Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Teamsters Joint Council Local No. 53 Retiree Health & Welfare Fund v. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, C.A. No. 2:07-2752

#### MDL No. 1784 -- IN RE: MCDONALD'S FRENCH FRIES LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiffs R. Todd Merriott, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

#### Western District of Missouri

R. Todd Merriott, et al. v. McDonald's Corp., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-616

# MDL No. 1785 -- IN RE: BAUSCH & LOMB INC. CONTACT LENS SOLUTION PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff Donna LeBelle to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina:

#### Eastern District of California

Donna LeBelle v. Bausch & Lomb, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-1416

#### MDL No. 1789 -- IN RE: FOSAMAX PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiffs Carrie Smith, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

#### Central District of California

Carrie Smith, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-4655

# MDL No. 1803 -- IN RE: BANC OF AMERICA INVESTMENT SERVICES, INC., OVERTIME PAY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiffs Eric Handis, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

#### Southern District of Florida

Eric Handis, et al. v. Banc of America Investment Services, Inc., C.A. No. 9:07-80592

# MDL No. 1816 -- IN RE: KATZ INTERACTIVE CALL PROCESSING PATENT LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiff Comcast Cable Holdings, LLC, to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

#### District of Delaware

Comcast Cable Holdings, LLC v. Ronald A. Katz Technology Licensing, L.P., C.A. No. 1:07-447

#### MDL No. 1836 -- IN RE: MIRAPEX PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Opposition of plaintiffs Philip L. Dowler, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

#### Southern District of Ohio

Philip L. Dowler, et al. v. Medicine Shoppe, et al., C.A. No. 2:07-848

# MDL No. 1842 -- IN RE: KUGEL MESH HERNIA PATCH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiffs Melissa O. Rivers and Jon Snyder, et al., and defendant Legacy Health System to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island:

#### District of Connecticut

Melissa O. Rivers v. C.R. Bard, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-1245

#### District of Oregon

Jon Snyder, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-1081

# MDL No. 1845 -- IN RE: CONAGRA PEANUT BUTTER PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiffs Lillian Katz; A.J. Ferrara, et al.; Stefanie Brodsky; Mary F. Brown, etc.; and Taikshia Jenkins to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia:

#### **District of Massachusetts**

Lillian Katz v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-11509

#### District of New Mexico

A.J. Ferrara, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-570

MDL No. 1845 (Continued)

### Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Stefanie Brodsky v. ConAgra, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-882

#### Southern District of Texas

Mary F. Brown, etc. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-438 Taikshia Jenkins v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-2244

#### MDL No. 1850 -- IN RE: PET FOOD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Oppositions of plaintiffs Yvonne Ortiz and Valerie Sylvester, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

# District of Hawaii

Yvonne Ortiz v. Menu Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-323 Valerie Sylvester, et al. v. Menu Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-409

### MDL No. 1867 -- IN RE: GENERAL MOTORS ONSTAR CONTRACT LITIGATION

Opposition of defendant American Honda Motor Co., Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan:

# Central District of California

Charlotte M. Fischer v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-4303

# PROCEDURES FOR ORAL ARGUMENT BEFORE THE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

All oral argument is governed by the provisions of Rule 16.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u> (effective April 2, 2001). Rule 16.1(g) allows a maximum of twenty minutes for oral argument in each matter. In most cases, however, less time is necessary for the expression of all views and the Panel reserves the prerogative of reducing the time requested by counsel. Accordingly, counsel should be careful not to overstate the time requested for oral argument.

The Panel insists that counsel limit all oral argument to the appropriate criteria. <u>See generally In re "East of the Rockies" Concrete Pipe Antitrust Cases</u>, 302 F. Supp. 244, 255-56 (J.P.M.L. 1969) (concurring opinion) (discussion concerning criteria for transfer).

Rule 16.1 is duplicated in its entirety hereafter for your convenience.

#### RULE 16.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

- (a) Hearing sessions of the Panel for the presentation of oral argument and consideration of matters taken under submission without oral argument shall be held as ordered by the Panel. The Panel shall convene whenever and wherever desirable or necessary in the judgment of the Chairman. The Chairman shall determine which matters shall be considered at each hearing session and the Clerk of the Panel shall give notice to counsel for all parties involved in the litigation to be so considered of the time, place and subject matter of such hearing session.
- (b) Each party filing a motion or a response to a motion or order of the Panel under Rules 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 or 7.6 of these Rules may file simultaneously therewith a separate statement limited to one page setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned "Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard," and shall be filed and served in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules.
- (c) No transfer or remand determination regarding any action pending in the district court shall be made by the Panel when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand unless a hearing session has been held for the presentation of oral argument except that the Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:
  - (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented in the briefs and record, and the decisional process would not be significantly aided by oral argument. Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, all other matters before the Panel, such as a motion for reconsideration, shall be considered and determined upon the basis of the papers filed.
- (d) In those matters in which oral argument is not scheduled by the Panel, counsel shall be promptly advised. If oral argument is scheduled in a matter the Clerk of the Panel may require counsel for all parties who wish to make or to waive oral argument to file and serve notice to that effect within a stated time in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument by that party. If oral argument is scheduled but not attended by a party, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party's position shall be treated as submitted for decision by the Panel on the basis of the papers filed.
- (e) Except for leave of the Panel on a showing of good cause, only those parties to actions scheduled for oral argument who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to appear before the Panel and present oral argument.
- (f) Counsel for those supporting transfer or remand under Section 1407 and counsel for those opposing such transfer or remand are to confer separately prior to the oral argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication.
- (g) Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, a maximum of twenty minutes shall be allotted for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided equally among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

- (h) So far as practicable and consistent with the purposes of Section 1407, the offering of oral testimony before the Panel shall be avoided. Accordingly, oral testimony shall not be received except upon notice, motion and order of the Panel expressly providing for it.
- (i) After an action or group of actions has been set for a hearing session, consideration of such action(s) may be continued only by order of the Panel on good cause shown.